UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/507,393	07/11/2005	Joseph Henry Ruston	15892.5	1795
22913 WORKMAN N	7590 06/11/2007	EXAMINER		
WORKMAN NYDEGGER (F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY) 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER			NEILS, PEGGY A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			2885	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/507,393	RUSTON, JOSEPH HENRY			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Peggy A. Neils	2885			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address			
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a right apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b>_</b> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 16-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 16-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachmer	nt(c)					
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/507,393

Art Unit: 2885

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Devlin et al.

Devlin et al discloses a remote controlled lighting system, which can be controlled optically, or by radio frequency (RF). Once an indicator on the lamp selected is lit it is not necessary to point the remote at the lamp to control it. The control system may include control of beam color (claim19), timing sequences (claim 18) and control of sub-groups (claim 17) (see column 8, at the end of the specification).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/507,393 Page 3

Art Unit: 2885

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mier-Langner et al.

Mier-Langner et al shows a remote controlled lighting system with a number of lamp modules 20 that are individually selectable, programmable and controlled by a remote control unit 15. As shown in Figure 2, the lamp responds either to a laser with a visible light receiver 25 or an infrared receiver 24 with an LED 23 indicator which illuminates to show that the lighting system has been activated. While Mier-Langner et al primarily discusses controlling the brightness or dimming of the lighting system it is stated that control of the lighting may include a motor operated lamp to vary pointing and angular positioning of the lamp (see column 10, at the top of the page).

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dowling et al, Grange, Hunt et al and Sid are cited of interest. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Examiner Neils at (571) 272-2377 on a Monday or Thursday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jong (James) Lee can be reached on (571) 272-7044.

JONG-SUK (JAMES) LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER